

DE RUXQAA 924 2 02 Approved for Release: 2021/04/08 C05093196  
 ZNY XXXXX YYY  
 R 032 150Z  
 BT  
 XXXXX  
 CATOR 833  
 CATOR PASS CHARGE



DISTRIBUTION		
SAFSS	A	I
Dr McLucas		
Dr Nicks		✓
SS-1		✓
SS-2		✓
SS-3		
SS-4		
SS-5		
107 PHOTO		✓
108		
109		
110		
111		
112		
113		
114		
115		
116		
117		
118		
119		
120		

~~TOP SECRET~~ 032130Z AUG 71 CITE WHIG 102 1.  
 CHARGE  
 GAMBIT  
 SECTION 1 OF 2  
 FOR GENERAL ALLEN FROM DR. NAKA  
 SUBJECT: LAND PANEL REPORT ON EO1 VS FROG-GAMBIT IN THE MRT  
 PHOTO RECONNAISSANCE ERA  
 1. IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR REQUEST, THE SUBJECT REPORT ON THE  
 RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM (EO1-FROG) IS HEREWITH PROVIDED. ANY  
 QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS REPORT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO MAJ D.  
 (WHIG).  
 2. TITLE "THE NEAR REAL TIME PHOTO-RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM (EO  
 FROG)" REPORT BY THE NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE PANEL TO THE PRESIDENT'S  
 SCIENCE ADVISOR, 7 JULY 1971 (BYE-11953-71).  
 3. " AT YOUR REQUEST WE HAVE REVIEWED THE NEAR REAL TIME PHOTO-  
 RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM, BOTH EO1 AND FROG. THE PANEL MEETING

PAGE 2 WHIG 102 1. ~~TOP SECRET~~  
 OF JUNE 11, 1971 WAS SUPPLEMENTED BY FURTHER DISCUSSIONS AND  
 VISITS. WE HAVE JUDGED THE EXPECTED PERFORMANCE AND RELATIVE PROGRAM  
 RISK OF EO1 AND FROG, AS FOLLOWS:  
 A. (1) EO1 WILL HAVE A BEST NADIR GSD (GROUND SAMPLE DISTANCE)  
 OF [ ] IN A 100 BY 300 N MI ORBIT, WITH A [ ] MISSION DURATION, NOTE 1.  
 FROG WILL HAVE A BEST NADIR GRD (GROUND RESOLUTION DISTANCE) OF 24"  
 FROM 170 MILES ALTITUDE, BUT IT CAN PROBABLY BE OPERATED AT 65 MILES  
 ALTITUDE FOR 5-30 DAYS OF ITS NOMINAL [ ] MISSION, FROM WHICH  
 ALTITUDE IT WILL HAVE A 12" GRD.  
 (2) A SUBSTANTIAL EXPERIMENT PERFORMED BY NPIC HAS ACOMPARED  
 3 EXAMPLES OF BEST ACTUAL G3 IMAGERY WITH SIMULATED EO1 IMAGERY  
 OF [ ] AND 12" GSD (AT EO1 SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIOS ("SNR") OF 3 AND  
 5). EO1 AT [ ] (AND EITHER SNR) WAS JUDGED CLEARLY SUPERIOR TO THE  
 BEST OF G3 USUALLY STATED TO BE [ ] NOTE 2 EO1 AT [ ] WAS JUDGED  
 SOMEWHAT INFERIOR TO THE BEST OF G3.  
 (3) EO1 WILL HAVE MANY MORE ACCESES AT GSD BELOW 12" THAN  
 DOES THE PRESENT G3, AT GRD BELOW 14" (NOTE 3) AND CAN THEREFORE REPLACE  
 G3 (CURRENTLY LOSING OVER \$100M ANNUALLY - THE RECURRING ANNUAL COST  
 OF EO1), BUT AS FROG CANNOT. EO1 CAN PROVIDE MULTIPLE VIEWS OF THE  
 SAME STRUCTURE FROM A RANGE OF ANGLES ON A SINGLE PASS. FROG,

PAGE 3 WHIG 102 1. ~~TOP SECRET~~  
 LIMITED TO ROLL ONLY, CANNOT.  
 B. (1) NEAR NADIR, THE FROG HAS VERY LITTLE CAPABILITY TO MONITOR  
 LINES OF COMMUNICATION (LOC) AND CAN PLACE ONLY 3 TO 4 FRAMES OF  
 SOME 3 MILES SQUARE ALONG A ROAD APPROXIMATELY E-W DIRECTION,  
 AND WOULD BE THUS LIMITED AT TIMES TO PHOTOGRAPHING AS LITTLE AS 15-20  
 MILES OF LOC PER PASS. AT LARGE OBLIQUITY, FROG HAS GREATER LOC

(2) THE EO1 SYSTEM, EVEN IN ITS ORIGINAL FRAMING MODE, COULD LAY SOME 20 LINES ALONG EVEN AN EAST-WEST ROUTE FOR A COVERAGE OF SOME 60 MILES LENGTH (MINIMUM) PER PASS.

(3) IN THE STRIPPING MODE, WITH THE [REDACTED] WHICH CAN BE INCORPORATED WITHOUT CHANGE OF SCHEDULE, SOME 450 MILES OF TYPICAL LOC CAN BE COVERED PER PASS.

C. EO1 GIVES IMMEDIATE RETURN OF IMAGERY TO WASHINGTON (FEW SECONDS) WITH IMAGERY ROUTINELY AVAILABLE LESS THAN 1 HOUR AFTER ACCESS. PROG WITH THE PLANNED CONTINENTAL U.S. SITES WILL HAVE A 12-HOUR DELAY AFTER PHOTOGRAPHING EUROPEAN RUSSIA, THE SUEZ, OR EASTERN EUROPE. NORMAL SUN-SYNCHRONOUS ORBITS PHOTOGRAPHING THESE REGIONS AT LOCAL NOON (ABOUT 5 A.M. WASHINGTON TIME) CAN RETURN EO1 IMAGERY IN AMPLE TIME FOR A FULL DAY'S REVIEW BY U.S.

PAGE 4 WHICH ~~TOP SECRET~~

GOVERNMENT LEADERSHIP, WITH RESULTANT TASKING OF THE NEXT DAY'S TAKE (PICTURES ON THE DESK AT OPENING OF BUSINESS THE FOLLOWING DAY). A 12-HOUR DELAY IN RETURN OF IMAGERY WOULD LEAD TO A 2-DAY CYCLE IF THE SYSTEM WERE TO SERVE DIRECTLY THE NEEDS OF GOVERNMENT LEADERS.

D. THE EO1 SYSTEM DESIGN NOW INCLUDES AN ENHANCED CAPABILITY FOR AREA AND LOC SURVEILLANCE, ACHIEVED BY THE INCORPORATION IN THE EO1 FOCAL PLANE OF A [REDACTED] ELEMENTS WITH NAZIR GSD OF 26" AS COMPARED WITH THE OTHER [REDACTED] ELEMENTS EACH WITH BEST NAZIR GSD OF [REDACTED] NO CHANGE IN TECHNOLOGY WAS REQUIRED. THUS THE EO1 PROGRAM HAS DEMONSTRATED THE PERFORMANCE OF THE DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN EXPOSED TO CRITICAL APPRAISAL FOR AT LEAST THE LAST 2 YEARS. CERTAIN TASKS REMAIN TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, E.G.:

- (1) ADEQUATE THERMAL CONTROL OF THE DETECTOR ARRAY,
- (2) CHOICE OF THE OPTIMUM MEANS OF CONTINUOUS CALIBRATION OF EACH DETECTOR.
- (3) DEMONSTRATION OF THE VEHICLE STABILIZATION ACHIEVABLE WITH THE [REDACTED]

WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT THIS WORK CAN BE PERFORMED SUCCESSFULLY ON THE REQUIRED TIME SCALE.

PAGE 5 WHICH ~~TOP SECRET~~

E. ON THE OTHER HAND, PROG WILL REQUIRE THE DEVELOPMENT OR ADAPTATION OF MANY TECHNIQUES AND PIECES OF EQUIPMENT NEW TO THE PROGRAM AND TO THE CONTRACTORS:

- (1) SIGMAT PROCESSING WITH [REDACTED] INVOLVING THERMAL CONTROL TO 1 DEGREE C ACCURACY AT 0 DEGREE C.
- (2) LASER SCANNER-FILM GUIDE
- (3) ROLL JOINT MODIFICATIONS
- (4) ZERO-G PROPELLANT REQUIREMENT
- (5) FLEXIBLE SOLAR CELL ARRAY
- (6) IN GENERAL, THE MANY SYSTEMS RESPONSIBLE FOR RAISING THE NUMBER OF "RELAY-DRIVER PAIRS" FROM 220 IN THE G SYSTEM TO 760 IN THE PROPOSED PROG.

ACCORDING TO AN AIR FORCE SPOKESMAN, "EVERY AGENA SUB-SYSTEM IS NEW," AS IS THE FILM-ELECTRONICS MODULE. THESE CAPABILITIES APPEAR POSSIBLE OF ACHIEVEMENT, NO INVENTIONS APPEAR TO BE REQUIRED, BUT OUR EXPERIENCE WITH ANALOGOUS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (BOTH IN THIS FIELD AND IN THE CONTEXTS IN WHICH WE INDIVIDUALLY HAVE EXPERIENCE) CAUSES US TO REGARD THE SUCCESSFUL ACHIEVEMENT OF ALL THESE CAPABILITIES ON SCHEDULE AS A SUBSTANTIAL RISK.

WE CONCLUDE THAT THE RISK ASSOCIATED WITH PROG ON THE  
~~TOP SECRET~~  
BT

N

N

N

RR RUXQAA  
DE RUXQAA 988 2 22 16L 3 PM 6 35  
ZNY XXXXX YYY  
R 032 130Z  
BT  
XXXXX  
CATOR 836  
CATOR PASS CHARGE

SAFES

B-256

15

~~TOP SECRET~~ 032 130Z AUG 71 CITE WHIG 1021.

CHARGE  
GANDIT  
SECTION 2 OF 2.

STATED SCHEDULE MAY WELL BE GREATER THAN THAT ASSOCIATED WITH EO1 ON ITS SCHEDULE WITH OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY ONE YEAR LATER.

F. AT 17 DEGREE N LATITUDE, THE EDGE OF SWATH RESOLUTION IS:

EO1 - 26" GSD (GROUND SAMPLE DISTANCE, GEOMETRIC MEAN)

FROG - 64" GRD (GROUND RESOLUTION DISTANCE, GEOMETRIC MEAN)

SCALING FROM THE EXPERIMENT PERFORMED BY NPIC COMPARING THE BEST OF G3 PHOTOGRAPHY WITH SIMULATED EO1 PHOTOGRAPHY, FROG WOULD HAVE TO SHOW ABOUT 36" - 48" GRD TO GIVE A PRODUCT OF VALUE TO PHOTOINTERPRETERS "EQUIVALENT" TO THE EO1 26" GSD PRODUCT. FROG IS THIS AT LEAST A FACTOR 2 WORSE IN ITS EDGE-OF-SWATH RESOLUTION.

PAGE 2 WHIG 1021 ~~TOP SECRET~~

G. WE BELIEVE THAT EO1 DESIGN WILL NOT BENEFIT FROM OPERATIONAL EXPERIENCE OF FROG BECAUSE SUCH EXPERIENCE WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE TO ANY SIGNIFICANT EXTENT UNTIL MID-1975, AND TO DELAY THE EO1 PROCUREMENT UNTIL THEN WOULD POSTPONE EO1 OPERATION TO 1978 OR 1979.

H. IT IS TRUE THAT EO1 HAS SUBSTANTIAL GROWTH CAPABILITY WHICH CAN BE ACCOMMODATED GRADUALLY IN THE PRESENT CONFIGURATION.

PROBABLY THE IMPROVED CAPABILITY OF GREATEST INTEREST IS

[REDACTED] THIS WILL REQUIRE SUBSTANTIAL IMPROVEMENT IN THE STATE OF THE ART AND IN OUR OPINION WOULD BE OF RELATIVELY SMALL IMPORTANCE COMPARED WITH [REDACTED] EO1 PRODUCT. THERE IS SOME REASON TO BELIEVE THAT [REDACTED] IMAGERY CAN BE ACCOMMODATED IN THE PRESENT EO1 CONFIGURATION, BUT THE RELATIVE VALUE OF SUCH IMAGERY IS NOT SUCH AS TO MAKE IT ADVISABLE TO DELAY THE EO1 PROGRAM TO DETERMINE THIS TECHNICAL DETAIL.

I. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

(D) THE COMPARISONS A THROUGH F SHOW THE PERFORMANCE OF FROG TO BE SUBSTANTIALLY INFERIOR TO THAT OF EO1. THE OPERATION OF FROG WOULD ONLY BE AN INTERIM PROGRAM. THE LONGER EO1 IS DELAYED, THE LONGER WE WILL BE DENIED THE MUCH SUPERIOR EO1 PRODUCT, BUT WE SHALL

WE SPEND [ ] OR MORE (THROUGH 1977) TO BUILD FROG (FLY END 1973 OR [ ] OR MORE (THROUGH 1977) TO FLY EO1 END 1974. (THE STATED EO1 PROGRAM COST DOES NOT TAKE CREDIT FOR A SAVING EXCEEDING [ ] ANNUALLY, RESULTING FROM THE REPLACEMENT OF G3 BY A VERY SMALL FRACTION OF EO1 OBSERVING TIME). THE QUESTION IS WHETHER IT IS WORTH [ ] ADDITIONAL TO HAVE AN INFERIOR PRODUCT ONE YEAR SOONER (WITH SUBSTANTIAL RISK) AND WITH WHAT WE REGARD AS PROBABLE RESULTING DELAY OF THE SUPERIOR CAPABILITY.

(2) THE PANEL BELIEVES THAT RECENT DECISIONS HAVE BEEN BASED ON TWO MISCONCEPTIONS:  
(A) THAT EO1 AND FROG ARE SUFFICIENTLY SIMILAR IN PERFORMANCE THAT THE TWO ARE ALTERNATES, AND

(B) THAT THE RISK IN DEVELOPING FROG IS SUBSTANTIALLY LESS THAN THAT IN BUILDING EO1.

THE PANEL IS UNANIMOUS IN ITS JUDGMENT THAT THE FROG PROGRAM HAS THE HIGHER RISK. WE RESPECTFULLY URGE THAT FROG BE DROPPED AND EO1 ACQUIRED ON A SCHEDULE TO RESULT IN FIRST FLIGHT NOVEMBER 1974.

J. NOTES  
CLARIFYING REMARKS ADDED 7/24/71 BY R.L. GARRIN AFTER DISCUSSION

PAGE 4 WHICH IS ~~TOP SECRET~~  
WITH J.J. MARTIN.

1. MEAN MISSION DURATION COMPARABLE WITH FROG IS [ ]
2. "BEST OF G3" IS USUALLY STATED TO BE [ ] THE MIP FRAMES ARE COMMONLY JUDGED TO BE [ ] THESE 3 PARTICULAR FRAMES WERE ESTIMATED TO BE IN THE [ ] RANGE. SINCE THE PERFORMANCE OF FROG IS SIMPLY SCALED FROM G3, IT IS MORE IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT THESE MIP FRAMES REPRESENT THE BEST OF G3 THAN TO ASSIGN A NUMERICAL GRD TO THEM.
3. THIS CONCLUSION REMAINS TRUE FOR ANY REASONABLE ASSESSMENT OF GSD VS GRD VALUE. IN ADDITION, EO1 HAS THE OTHER VIRTUES OF INTENSITY RESOLUTION AS WELL AS SPATIAL RESOLUTION, LOW SUN ANGLE, ETC.

~~TOP SECRET~~  
BT

N  
N  
N